The spider genus Cedicus Simon 1875 (Arachnida Aranei Agelenidae) from Middle Asia.

Пауки рода *Cedicus* Simon 1875 (Arachnida Aranei Agelenidae) из Средней Азии.

Victor Fet В.Я.Фет

Department of Biological Sciences, Loyola University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118, USA

KEY WORDS: Paracedicus subgen.n., Cedicus ephthalitus sp.n., Cedicus gennadii sp.n., Cedicus parthus sp.n., Middle Asia.

КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: Paracedicus subgen.n., Cedicus ephthalitus sp.n., Cedicus gennadii sp.n., Cedicus parthus sp.n., Средняя Азия.

ABSTRACT: Partial redescription of the spider genus Cedicus Simon 1875 is given on the basis of Middle Asian material. A new subgenus, Paracedicus n. is described for two new species, C.ephthalitus sp.n. (OP, SW-Kopetdagh Mts.), and C.gennadii sp.n. (OP, C-, SW-Kopetdagh Mts., Tuakyr Plateau). The subgenus Cedicoides Charitonov 1946 is redelimited; it includes C.simoni Charitonov 1946, C.maerens Simon 1889, C.pavlovskyi Spassky 1941, and C.parthus sp.n. from C- and SW-Kopetdagh Mts. (OP). The female of C.maerens is redescribed (holotype), its male is described for the first time.

РЕЗЮМЕ: На основе материала из Средней Азии дано частичное переописание рода Cedicus Simon, 1875. Описан новый подрод Paracedicus subgen.n. с двумя видами: C.ephthalitus sp.n. (♂♀, ЮЗ Копетдаг), и C.gennadii sp.n. (♂♀, Ц, ЮЗ Копетдаг, плато Туакыр). Пересмотрен объем подрода Cedicoides Charitonov 1946; он включает C.simoni Charitonov 1946, C.maerens Simon 1889, C.pavlovskyi Spassky 1941, а также новый вид C.parthus sp.n. из Ц и ЮЗ Копетдага (♂♀;). По голотипу переописана самка С.maerens, впервые дано описание самца этого вида.

The genus Cedicus Simon 1875 was described from Middle East and is known only from the Palearctic realm. The genus is well defined, but its taxonomic position is not clear. Traditionally, it was placed in the family Agelenidae (subfamily Cybaeinae) [Bonnet 1956]. However, Lehtinen [1967: 325] placed Cedicus within the family Amaurobiidae (subfamily Desinae) along with several other genera. Forster [1970: 21] was uncertain about the placement of this genus, and Brignoli [1983: 494]

lists it under "Desidae, incertae sedis".

In Middle Asia (i.e. former republics of Soviet Central Asia), three species of Cedicus are known from Turkmenistan (C.maerens Simon 1889), Tadjikistan (C.pavlovskyi Spassky 1941), and Uzbekistan (C.simoni Charitonov 1946). While analyzing spider collections from Turkmenistan (1975-1987), three new species of Cedicus were discovered. Here we give descriptions of new species, as well as the description of the poorly known C.maerens Simon 1889 (the male of this species was not described previously). We also give the amended description of the genus. A short diagnosis in English was published by Lehtinen [1967: 326].

All material, including type specimens, is deposited in the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University (ZMMU), Moscow, Russia.

The following abbreviations are used in the text: ALE - anterior lateral eyes, alt. - altitude, AME - anterior median eyes, ibid. - ibidem (found in the same place); PLE - posterior lateral eyes; PME - posterior median eye; AEC - anterior edge of the cheliceral groove; PEC - posterior edge of the cheliceral groove. All measurements are given in millimeters.

Genus Cedicus Simon 1875.

Type species: C.flavipes Simon 1875: 48, pl. (terra typica unclear: "Corsica" - erroneously; "Syria", possibly Lebanon or Israel).

Simon 1875: 48, pl; 1889: 378; Kulczynski 1908: 77, pl. II, fig. 20-21 (*C.flavipes*); Spassky 1941: 13, fig. 2 (*C.pavlovskyi*); Kharitonov 1946: 22, fig. 8-9 (*C.simoni*); Bonnet 1956: 977; Lehtinen 1967: 221, fig. 137-139 (*C.flavipes*); De Blauwe 1973: 8, fig. 4-8 (*C.flavipes*).

DESCRIPTION. Spiders of a medium size (5 to 10 mm). Carapace with a dark medial groove with

Victor Fet




Fig.1. Cedicus ephthalitus Fet, sp.n.: a) male palp; b) male palp tibia, dorsal view; c) abdomen, dorsal view; d) female epigyne; e) endegyne. Abbreviations: c - embolar conductor, e - embolus, ma - median apophysis of a bulbus.

Рис.1. Cedicus ephthalitus Fet, sp.n.: a) пальпус самца; b) голень пальпы самца, дорсально; с) бряошко дорсально; d) эпигина самки; e) эндетина. Условные обозначения: c - кондуктор эмболюса, e - эмболюс, ma - медиальный отросток бульбуса.

stripes radiating from it. Clypeus, maxillae and sternum lightly colored, with rare dark bristles. Chelicerae enlarged, dark, with slightly expressed transverse wrinkles, with long bristles along the anterior edge. Both edges of the cheliceral groove bear several teeth (from three to six). Dorsal side of the abdomen usually with a pattern of light longitudinal bands on dark background. Colulus is well developed. Cribellum and calamistrum are lacking. In the copulatory apparatus of the male, the embolic conductor is well-developed.

Cedicus ephthalitus Fet, sp.n. Fig. 1a-e.

MATERIAL. Holotype. 10 (ZMMU Ta-4009). Turkmenistan: Southwest Kopetdagh, Aidere Valley, alt. 800 m, 11.05-10.06.1979, horsetail community (Equisetum ramosissimum) next to a mountain brook; coll. V.Fet. Paratypes (300 and 499): 10 (Ta-4010), ibid., coll. V.Fet; 19 (Ta-4011), Aidere Valley, 04.1975; 10 (Ta-4012), Aidere Valley, meadow, 20-27.09.1978, coll. V.Fet; 10

(Ta-4013), Aidere Valley, under a walnut tree, 11-18.03.1979, coll. V.Fet; 1♀ (Ta-4014), Mount Khassardagh, alt. 1600 m, mountain steppe (Festuco-Stipetum), 19.04.1979, coll. V.Fet; 2♀♀ (Ta-4015), ibid., 4-8.04.1982, coll. N.S. Ustinova.

DIAGNOSIS. C.ephthalitus belongs to a new subgenus (described below), and can be distinguished from the only known related species C.gennadii sp.n. by the shape of tibial palpal apophysis in the male (Fig.1a,b), details of the structure of epigyne and vulva in the female (Fig.1d,e), abdominal pattern (Fig.1c) and spination of legs. Also, these two species seem to be vicariant ecologically, with C.ephthalitus being confined to moist and highland habitats.

DESCRIPTION, MALE, Body length (without chelicerae) 4.85. Carapace length 2.40, width 1.00. Carapace brownish-yellow. Eyes: AME 0.04, ALE 0.10, PME 0.10, PLE 0.10, AME-AME 0.04, AME-ALE 0.07, PME-PME 0.10, PME-PLE 0.08, AME-PME 0.07. ALE-PLE 0.04. The length of the basal segment of chelicera 1.25. AEC with five teeth (the fourth from the apex is larger than others), PEC with three teeth. Legs yellow. Spine positions: femus I-II, dorsal 1.1, anterolateral 1; femur III dorsal 1.1.2; femur IV dorsal 1.1.1; patella III, anterolateral 1, posteriolateral 1; patella IV dorsal 1; tibia I, anteriolateral 1, ventral 1.2.2., tibia II, ventral 1.1.2., tibia III, dorsal 1.1, anterolateral 1.1, ventral 2.2.2.; tibia IV, dorsal 1, anterolateral 1.1., ventral 2.2.2.; metatarsus I-II, ventral 2.2.2, metatarsus III, dorsal 1.2.1.2, anterolateral 1.2.2, ventral 2.1.1.2, posterolateral 1.1.2; metatarsus IV, dorsal 1, ventral 1, posterolateral 1.1; tarsus III, anterolateral 1, ventral 1; tarsus IV, anterolateral 1.1, posterolateral 1.1. Dorsal abdominal pattern as in Fig.1c.Palpus as in Fig. la-b.

FEMALE. Body length 6.25. Carapace length 3.25, width 1.75. Differs from the male in cheliceral dentation: AEC with teeth (as in the male), but PEC with four teeth. Epigyne as in Fig. 1,d, vulva as in Fig.1e.

DERIVATIO NOMINIS. The specific epithet is derived from an ethnonym: the Ephthalites were a tribe of nomads (also called "White Huns") who lived in Turkmenistan in the early Middle Ages (until VI century A.D.).

DISTRIBUTION. Turkmenistan. Known only from mountains of Southwest Kopetdagh.

BIOLOGY. C.ephthalitus is found in moist meadows along mountain brooks, and also in the mountain steppe belt, alt. 800 to 1600 m. The mating period is March to June (when adult males are recorded).




Fig.2. Cedicus gennadii Fet, sp.n.: a) male palp; b) male palp tibia, dorsal view; c) abdomen, dorsal view; d) female epigyne; e) endegyne. Abbreviations as in Fig.1.

Рис.2. Cedicus gennadii. Fet, sp.n.: а) пальпус самца; b) голень пальпы самца, дорсально; с) брющко дорсально; d) эпигина самки; e) эндегина. Условные обозначения как на рис.1.

Cedicus gennadii Fet, sp.n. Fig.2a-e.

C.simoni (nec Charitonov 1946): Fet and Kuznetsov 1982: 60; Fet 1983: 836.

MATERIAL. Holotype. 1♂ (ZMMU Ta-4028). Turkmenistan: C-Kopetdagh, Kurtusu Valley, 15-22.03.1980, coll. G.T. Kuznetsov. Paratypes (490°0° and 699: 800 (Ta-4029), ibid.; 10 (Ta-4016), C-Kopetdagh, Kurkulab, 20-27.05.1977, coll. G.T. Kuznetsov: 90°0° 1♀ (Ta-4017), C-Kopetdagh, Kalininsky Reserve, 7-15.12.1979, coll. G.T.Kuznetsov; 10 (Ta-4018), C-Kopetdagh, Firyuza Valley, 7-16.02.1979, coll. G.T. Kuznetsov; 21000 and 399 (Ta-4019), C-Kopetdagh, Kurughaudan Valley, 19-26.03.1980, coll. G.T. Kuznetsov; 1♂ 1♀ (Ta-4020), SW-Kopetdagh, Aidere Valley, 04.1975; 70°0° 1♀ (Ta-4021), SW-Kopetdagh, Kara Kala, 25.01.1977, coll. K.Yu. Eskov; 1♂ (Ta-4022), NW-Turkmenistan, Tuarkyr Plateau, Kafigshem Mts., alt. 500 m, gypsum desert, 7.11.1982, coll. V.Fet.

DIAGNOSIS. C.gennadii belongs to a new subgenus (decribed below), and can be distinguished from the only known related species C.ephthalitus sp.n. by the shape of tibial palpal apophysis in the male (Fig.2a-b), details of the structure of epigyne and vulva in the female (Fig.2d-e), the abdominal pattern (Fig.2c) and spination of legs. Also, these two species seem to be vicariant ecologically, with C.gennadii being confined to arid and semiarid habitats.


DESCRIPTION. MALE. Body length (without chelicerae) 6.90. Carapace length 3.15, width 2.20. Carapace brownish-yellow. Eyes: AME 0.07, ALE 0.14, PME 0.10, PLE 0.10, AME-AME 0.08, AME-ALE 0.10, PME-PME 0.18, PME-PLE 0.28, AME-PME 0.12, ALE-PLE 0.21. The length of the basal segment of chelicera 1.55. AEC with five teeth (the fourth from the apex is larger than others), PEC with three teeth. Legs brown. Spine positions: femur I. dorsal 1.1, anterolateral 1; femur II dorsal 1.1; femur III dorsal 1.1.2; femur IV dorsal 1.1; patella III, dorsal 1, anterolateral 1; patella IV dorsal 1; tibia I, ventral 1.2.2, tibia II, ventral 1.2.2, tibia III, dorsal 1.1, anterolateral 1.1, ventral 2.2.2, posterolateral 1.1; tibia IV, dorsal 1.1, anterolateral 1, posterolateral 1.1; metatarsus I, ventral 2.2.2; metatarsus II, anterolateral 1; metatarsus III, dorsal 1.2.2, anterolateral 1, ventral 2.2.2, posterolateral 1.1; metatarsus IV, dorsal 1.1, anter-olateral 1.1, posterolateral 1.1, ventral 2.2.2; tarsus III, anteriolateral 1, ventral 2.1, posterolateral 1.1, ventral 2.2.2; tarsus III, anterolateral 1, ventral 2.1, posterolateral 1.1; tarsus IV, anterolateral 1, posterolateral 1. Dorsal abdominal pattern as in Fig.2c. Palpus as in Fig.2a-b.

FEMALE. Body length 8.00. Carapace length 3.80, width 2.60. Differs from the male in cheliceral dentation: AEC with five, but PEC with four teeth (as in *C.ephthalitus*). Epigyne as in Fig.2d, vulva as in Fig.2e.

DERIVATIO NOMILIS. The specific epithet is a patronum derived form the first name of Dr. Gennady T. Kuznetsov (Ashkhabad, Turkmenistan), who is credited with collecting many interesting and new species of spiders from Kopetdagh Mountains.

DISTRIBUTION. Turkmenistan. C. gennadii is known from mountains and foothills of Kopetdagh, and also from Northwest Turkmenistan (Tuarkur). Probably, has a wider distribution and will be found in Kazakhstan.

BIOLOGY. Unlike morphologically similar *C.ephthalitus*, this species is found in a variety of arid and semiarid habitats and altitudes, from gypsum desert to foothills to high mountains. Adult






Рис. 3. Cedicus simoni Charitonov, 1946, самец: а) пальпус; b) голень пальпы, дорсально; с) брюшко дорсально. Условные обозначения: ta - тегулярный отросток бульбуса, прочие как на рис. 1.

males are recorded from November to May, therefore the mating period can be from fall to spring.

REMARK. This species was previously identified as C.simoni Charitonov 1946 [Fet and Kuznetsov 1982; Fet 1983]. Investigations of Charitonov's syntypes (Kharitonov, 1946: 21, fig. 8-9; 1969: 86, fig.; deposited in Perm State University, Perm, Russia; 20° 12, Uzbekistan, Bukhara Region, Yakkabad District, Yangikishlak, 1800 m, October 17, 1941, coll. K.V. Arnoldi) demonstrated that C.simoni Charit. (Fig.3a-c) is a separate species which is closer to a group that includes C.maerens Simon, C.pavlovskyi Spassky, and C.parthus sp.n.

Cedicus parthus Fet, sp.n. Fig.4a-e.

C. maerens (nec Simon 1889): Fet 1983: 836.

MATERIAL. Holotype. 1♂ (ZMMU Ta-4023). Turkmenistan: C-Kopetdagh, Firyuza Valley, 16-23.10.1979, coll. G.T.Kuznetsov. Paratypes (11♂♂and 1♀): 7♂♂ (Ta-4030), ibid.; 3♂♂ (Ta-4024), C-Kopetdagh, Katranki Valley, 9-16.09.1978, coll. G.T.Kuznetsov; 1♂ (Ta-4025), ibid., 8-15.04.1980,

coll. G.T.Kuznetsov; 19 (Ta-4026), SW-Kopetdagh, Aidere Valley, dry slope (Artemisieto-Festucetum), 8.05.1979, coll. V.Fet.

DIAGNOSIS. C.parthus belongs to the Middle Asian subgenus Cedicoides Charit. (see below), and can be distinguished from the related species C.simoni (Fig.3a) from Uzbekistan, C.maerens (Fig.5a) from Turkmenistan, and C.pavlovskyi [Spassky, 1941, Fig.2] from Tadjikistan first of all by the shape of tibial palpal apophysis in the male (Fig.4a-b), details of the structure of epigyne and vulva in the female (Fig.4d-e), and abdominal pattern (Fig.4c).

DESCRIPTION. MALE. Body length 8.00. Carapace length 3.75, width 2.90. Carapace brown. Eyes: AME 0.08, ALE 0.10, PME 0.09, PLE 0.10, AME-AME 0.06, AME-ALE 0.07, PME-PME 0.20, PME-PLE 0.17, AME-PME 0.11, ALE-PLE 0.11. The length of the basal segments of chelicera 1.90. AEC with six teeth (the fifth from the apex is larger than others), PEC with four teeth. Legs brown, femur with an unclear reticulate pattern of light and dark stripes. Spine positions: femur I-IV, dorsal 1.1, anterolateral 1; patella III-IV, posterolateral 1; tibia I, anterolateral 1, ventral 2.2.2.2; tibia II, ventral




Fig. 4. Cedicus partbus Fet, sp.n.: a) male palp; b) male palp tibia, dorsal view; c) abdomen, dorsal view; d) female epigyne; e) endegyne. Abbreviations as in Figs. 1&3.

Рис. 4. Cedicus parthus Fet, sp.n.: a) пальпус самца; b) голень пальпы самца, дорсально; c) брюшко дорсально; d) эпигина самки; e) эндегина. Условные обозначения как на рис. 1 и 3.




Fig.5. Cedicus maerens Simon, 1889: a) male palp; b) male palp tibia, dorsal view; c) abdomen, dorsal view; d) female epigyne. Abbreviations as in Figs.1&3.

Рис.5. Cedicus maerens Simon, 1889: a) пальпус самца; b) голень пальпы самца, дорсально; c) брюшко дорсально; d) эпигина самки. Условные обозначения как на рис.1 и 3.

1.2.2.2; tibia III-IV, ventral 2.2.2, posterolateral 1.1; metatarsus I, ventral 2.2.2; metatarsus II, ventral 2.2.3, metatarsus III, anterolateral 1.1, ventral 2.2.2, posterolateral 2; metatarsus IV, anterolateral 1.1, ventral 2.2., posterolateral 1.2; tarsus III-IV, anterolateral 1.1, ventral 1, posterolateral 1. Dorsal abdominal pattern as in Fig.4c. Palpus as in Fig.4a-b.

FEMALE. Carapace length 4.00 (abdomen distored), width 2.70. Cheliceral dentation as in the male. Epigyne as on Fig.4d, vulva as on Fig.4e.

DERIVATIO NOMINIS. The specific epithet is derived from an ethnonym; the Parthians were a tribe who lived in Turkmenistan in ancient times.

DISTRIBUTION. Turkmenistan: mountains of Kopetdagh.

BIOLOGY. C.parthus is found in the middle mountain belt, alt. 800 to 1500 m. Adult males are recorded from September to April, therefore the mating period (as in C.gennadii) can be from fall to spring.

REMARK. This species was previously identified as *C. maerens* Simon 1889 [Fet 1983]. Analysis of type material (see below) demonstrated that these are two different species.

Cedicus maerens Simon 1889. Fig.5a-d. Simon 1889: 378, 1899: 480 (merens!); Bonnet 1956: 977; Lehtinen 1967: 221.

MATERIAL. 1♀ (Georgian State Museum, Tbilisi, Georgia, Invertebrate Department, No. 2280, label: "Caedicus (sic!) maerens Sim., Transcaspian Region, 86R"; 1♂ (ZMMU Ta-4027), Turkmenistan: environs of Nebit Dagh, 4.11.1982, coll. V.Fet.

DESCRIPTION, MALE, Body length 7.40, Carapace length 3.50, width 2.40. Carapace brownishyellow, its central part brown. Eyes: AME 0.10, ALE 0.13, PME 0.10, PLE 0.12, AME-AME contiguous. AME-ALE 0.29, PME-PME 0.22, PME-PLE 0.35, AME-PME 0.14, ALE-PLE 0.15. The length of the basal segment of chelicera 1.80. AEC with six teeth (the fifth from the apex is larger than others), PEC with four teeth. Legs brown. Spine positions: femur I-II, dorsal 1.1, anterolaterall; femor III, dorsal 1.1.2; femor IV, dorsal 1; patella III-IV, posterolateral 1; tibia I, anterolateral 1, ventral 2.2.2; tibia II, ventral 1.1.2; tibia III-IV, dorsal 1, ventral 2.2.2, anterolateral 1.1, posterolateral 1.1 (tibia III) and 1 (tibia IV); metatarsus I-II, ventral 2.2.2; metatarsus III-IV, dorsal 1.1, anterolateral 1.1, ventral 2.2.2, posterolateral 1.1; tarsus IV, anterolateral 1.1, ventral 1. Dorsal abdominal pattern as in Fig.5c. Palpus as in Fig.5a-b.

FEMALE (holotype). Body length 7.30. Carapace length 3.50, width 2.30, reddish-brown, with pattern faded from preservation. Eyes: AME 0.04, ALE 0.09, PME 0.06, PLE 0.04, AME-AME 0.06, AME-ALE 0.30, PME-PLE 0.31, AME-PME 0.21, ALE-PLE 0.30. Cheliceral dentation as in the male. Legs brown. Apical parts of maxillae and labium lightly colored. Epigyne as in Fig.5d.

DISTRIBUTION. Turkmenistan. Foothills of Kopetdagh and Great Balkhan.

BIOLOGY. *C.maerens* is found in desert foothills, alt. 200 to 400 m. The only known adult male is found in November, and female in spring 1886. Thus, the mating period can be confined to fall.

REMARKS. The short Latin description (without drawings) of *C. maerens* by E.Simon was based on a single female from Khodzha Kala (now in Kizyl Arvat District) [Simon 1889]. This specimen was collected in 1886, during G.I. Radde's (Caucasian Museum, Tiflis) expedition to Transcaspian Region (now Turkmenistan). In the inventory of the collections of the Caucasian Museum which was published in 1899, this species is listed as "*C.merens*" from both Khodzha Kala and Ashkhabad [Simon 1899]. The record for Ashkhabad [Simon 1899] requires confirmation. Females of this species were never collected again. Lehtinen [1967] mentioned that the holotype female is deposited in E.Simon's collection

74 Victor Fet

(Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France). Unfortunately, no types of *C.maerens* could be located in this Museum (M.Hubert, pers. comm., 1984). It seemed that *C. maerens* was a likely candidate for a nomen oblitum.

However, in 1985 I discovered a female specimen (No. 2280) in the collections of the Georgian State Museum, Tbilisi, Georgia (former Caucasian Museum in Tiflis) which corresponds to the original description of Simon. The abbreviation "86R" on the label probably means 1886, Radde expedition. Therefore, it may be considered as the type specimen of C.maerens (and maybe it even is the holotype).

The male found in 1982 in the foothills of Great Balkhan (Nebit Dagh) matches the female from Khodzha Kala in morphological characters, including cheliceral dentation. These two localities are close geographically and have similar habitats (sagebrush desert). Therefore (though still with some dégree of uncertainty) I identify this specimen as a male of *C.maerens* Simon 1889, rather than describing it as another new species of *Cedicus*.

Discussion

With three new species from Turkmenistan described above, a total of six species belonging to the genus Cedicus Sim. are found within Middle Asia. All these spiders are found in mountains or foothills, and only C.gennadii is also discovered in the desert of Northwest Turkmenistan (however, still within the elevated Tuarkyr Plateau). Interesting enough, Cedicus is completely absent from numerous collections from sand deserts of Middle Asia. Even in mountains, the spiders of this genus generally are not often found in collections which undoubtedly is a consequence of their specific biology. The majority of specimens in our analysis are males which were captured by pitfall traps being active on the soil surface (presumably during the mating period). Females are very rare. Spiders of the genus Cedicus are wandering predators, probably not building webs. Their mating period in Middle Asia is in spring or from fall to spring; probably they survive through the winter.

Taxonomy of the genus was never studied in detail. D.E. Kharitonov [1946] separated a species from Uzbekistan, *C.simoni* Charit., into a new subgenus, *Cedicoides*, and later [Kharitonov 1948] even used this name as a generic one, without any justification. The reason for establishing a separate subgenus was its difference in cheliceral dentation from the nominal species of this genus, *C.flavipes* Simon (Middle East).

These characters, along with the features of male

genitalia, allow us to classify all species known from Middle Asia into two groups which deserve subgeneric status (and may in the future even receive a generic one).

The first group (subgenus Cedicoides Charitonov 1946) is characterized by the well developed tegulum on the male palp bulbus. It aiso differs from two other subgenera by the dentation of chelicerae (both in male and female, AEC with six teeth, and PEC with four teeth), and includes: C.simoni Charitonov 1946 (Fig.3a) from Uzbekistan, two species from Turkmenistan: C.maerens Simon 1889 (Fig.5a), and C.parthus sp.n. (Fig.4a); and C.pavlovskyi Spassky 1941 (type in the Zoological Institute, St. Petersburg, seen; Spassky 1941, fig.2) from Tadjikistan.

The second group is characterized by the absence of a tegulum and by a different shape of the embolic conductor, and includes two new species from Turkmenistan: *C.ephthalitus* sp.n. (Fig.1a), and *C.gennagii* sp.n. (Fig.2a). Here we classify this group in a new subgenus, *Paracedicus* subgen. n.

Paracedicus subgen. n.

Type species: Cedicus ephthalitus sp.n. (see the description above).

Terra typica: Turkmenistan, SW-Kopetdagh, Aidere Valley, alt. 800 m.

DIAGNOSIS. Differs from the nominal subgenus Cedicus Simon 1875 (see Lehtinen 1968, Figs.138-139), and subgenus Cedicoides Charitonov 1946 by the absence of a tegulum and by a different shape of the embolic conductor in the male copulatory apparatus (Figs.1a and 2a). Also differs from the related Middle Asian subgenus Cedicoides by cheliceral dentation: Paracedicus has, in the male, AEC with five teeth (the fourth from the apex is larger than others), PEC with three teeth; in female, AEC with five teeth and PEC with four teeth; Cedicoides has identical dentation in males and females: AEC with six teeth (the fifth from the apex is larger than others), PEC with four teeth.

The subgenus is known from Turkmenistan only and includes two species: *C.ephthalitus* sp.n. (humid valleys and mountaintops of the Southwest Kopetdagh), and *C.gennadii* sp.n. (arid and semiarid mountains and deserts of Turkmenistan).

Thus, none of the Middle Asian species is classified in the nominal subgenus Cedicus Simon 1875. The type species of the genus, Cedicus flavipes Simon 1875, which was recently redescribed [De Blauwe 1973, figs. 6-7]. The type locality of this species is not clear ("Corsica" - erroneously, should be Syria", presumably Israel or Lebanon;

Simon 1875; De Blauwe 1973). Later, this species was discovered on Cyprus [Kulczynski 1908]. Its record from Lapad Island off coast of Dalmatia (Slovenia; Nikolic, 1966) is dubious and requires confirmation. Judging from the drawings by De Blauwe [1973], the male genitalia of *C.flavipes* have a completely different structure from that of all Middle Asian species which therefore can be separated into two subgenera mentioned above.

Only two more species of *Cedicus* are known: *C.bucculentus* Simon 1889 from the Himalayas, and one more, undescribed species from India [Lehtinen 1967:221]. All other species previously classified in this genus were excluded from it by Lehtinen [1967]. Therefore, the genus *Cedicus* has eight described species, with a well-defined Ancient Mediterranean range (Cyprus, Middle East, Middle Asia, Himalayas). The center of its known diversity (four species) is located in Turkmeno-Khorassan Mountains (Kopetdagh is a part of this mountain range within Turkmenistan). Undoubtedly, the species of this genus will be found in Iran, Afghanistan, Azerbaijan and other adjacent areas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I am grateful to Dr. . Arnold M. Gegechkori (Georgian State Museum. Tbilisi, Georgia) and to Dr. Vladimir I. Ovtsharenko (Zoological Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia) who made it possible for me to examine type collections deposited in these museums. Dr. Gennady T. Kuznetsov (Ashkhabad, Turkmenistan) and Dr. Kirill Yu. Eskov (Moscow, Russia) kindly presented their spider collections for analysis and description. and Dr. M. Hubert (Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France) informed me about the absence of C. maerens types from E.Simon's collection. I am grateful to Dr. Pekka T. Lehtinen (University of Turku, Finland) for sending me a copy of his 1967 spider treatise which inspired me for many years in my taxonomic work. Dr. Kirill G. Mikhailov (Zoological Museum, Moscow State University) made possible this publication in the "Arthropoda Selecta".

I am especially obliged to Sergey V. Ovchinnikov (Institute of Zoology, Bishkek, Kyrghyzstan) who generously permitted me to use his original drawings of type material of *Cedicus simoni* Charitonov 1946 from Perm State University; figures 3, a-c in this paper are based on these drawings.

References.

- Bonnet P. 1956. Bibliographia Araneorum. Vol.2. Part 2 (C-E). Toulouse, Les Freres Douladoure. P. 919-1926.
- Brignoli P.M. 1983. A catalogue of the Araneae described between 1940 and 1981. Manchester, Manchester University Press. 755 pp.
- De Blauwe R. 1973. Revision de la famille des Agelenidae (Araneae) de la région méditerranénne// Bull.Inst. Roy. Sci. nat. Belg., Vol. 49. No. 2. Pp. 1-111.
- Fet V. Ya. 1983. [The spider (Aranei) fauna of the Southwest Kopetdagh].// Entom. Obozr. Vol. 62. No. 4. P.835-845 [in Russian].
- Fet V. Ya., G.T. Kuznetsov. 1982. [On the zoogeographical connections of the Kopetdagh spider fauna] // Scientific Conference of Young Scientists and Specialists of Tadzhikistan. Dushanbe, sect. zool., P.59-61 [in Russian].
- Foster R.R. 1970. The spiders of New Zeland. Part III// Otago Mus. Bull. Vol.3.Pp.1-184.
- Kharitonov D.E. 1946. [New forms of spiders for the USSR fauna]
 // Proc. Nat. Sci. Inst. Molotov State Univ., 12: 19-32 [in Russian].
- Kharitonov D.E. 1948. [Spiders Araneina] // Zhivotniy mir SSSR. Vol.2, Pp. 297-304 [in Russian].
- Kharitonov D.E. 1969. [Contributions to the spider fauna of the USSR]. Sci. Mem. Univ. Perm (Biol.), 179: 59-133 [in Russian].
- Kulczynski V. 1908. Fragmenta arachnologica. VI,X. Araneae nonnulae in Cypro insula et in Palaestina a Prof. Dr. G. Cecconi lectae// Bull. Acad. Sci. Cracovie. P. 49-86.
- Lehtinen P.T. 1967. Classification of the Crebellate spiders and some allied families, with notes on the evolution of the suborder Araneomorpha// Ann. Zool. Fennici. Vol.4. No.3. P.199-467.
- Nikolic F. 1966. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Spinnenwelt in der Umgebung von Dubrovnik.// Folia Ent. Hung. (N.S.), 19(24): 441-453.
- Simon E. 1875. Les arachnides de France. Paris. Vol.2. 350 pp.
 Simon E. 1889. Arachnidae transcaspicae ab ill. Dr. G. Radde, Dr. A. Walter et A. Conchin inventae (annis 1886-1887)// Ver. zool.-bot. Ges. Wien. Bd. 39. S. 373-386.
- Simon E. 1899. Araneae Transcaspicae // G.I. Radde. Kollektsii . Kavkazskogo Muzeya (Muzeum Caucasicum). Tiflis. Vol.1. P.478-480.
- Spassky S. 1941. Araneae palaearcticae novae VI.// Folia Zool. Hydrobiol. Vol. 11. No. 1. P. 12-26.